

ESTIMATION OF THE RESULTS OF STATISTICAL MODELING OF AUTOMATIC CONTROL SYSTEMS

Educational & Research institute of Information and diagnostic Systems, National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mails: ¹elte.chair @ gmail.ru, ²post-fsf @ nau.edu.ua, ³golart @ mail.ru

Abstract – Probabilistic methods of processing the results of statistical modeling of the determining parameters of the automatic control system characterizing the position of the aircraft in the automatic approach and landing mode for the purpose of determining the accuracy characteristics of automatic control are considered.

Index terms – Statistical modeling; accuracy estimation; tolerant interval; probability measure; tolerant area; nonparametric estimation; order statistics; modeling volume.

I. INTRODUCTION

To create new control systems for prospective trunk airplanes, it is necessary to carry out various types of flight tests, including the statistical modeling. All of them are aimed at achieving a single goal, which is to improve the characteristics of the control system and to establish its concordance with specified requirements for accuracy and reliability to ensure flight safety. One of the main tasks is the accuracy estimation of functioning the control system at all stages of the flight.

All this suggests that a well-developed mathematical apparatus is required to determine the probabilistic characteristics of the measured parameters with the necessary reliability. At the same time, the task of metrological provision of statistical measurements and the development of effective procedures for statistical processing of the received information becomes no less important.

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

To estimate the accuracy of the results of any type of tests (flight and operational tests, statistical modeling) for the purpose of certification of an automatic control system for take-off and landing aircraft, the following statement of the problem can be formulated.

The problem of estimating the accuracy of a system will be understood as the estimate of the probability P of a random variable X falling into an acceptable area D with verification of the inequality $P \geq P_{req}$, where X is the measurement results of a certain determining parameter characterizing the position and state of the aircraft at the touchdown

point of the runway, P_{req} is the required fraction of the probability distribution of the random variable X in the acceptable area D . The inequality is evaluated with some given confidence probability γ (reliability of estimation).

It should be noted that rather strict requirements are made on the automatic approach and landing process, the fulfillment of which it is necessary to confirm at the control system certification. In particular, the lateral deviation of the trunk aircraft at the touchdown point of the runway should be in the given area D with a very high probability $0,9_6$.

This means that in 10^6 automatic landings only one outcome beyond area D is allowed, since this outcome can be catastrophic.

Obviously, it is impossible to confirm such a probability by the flight tests due to the need for a huge number of tests (several million). Only statistical modeling allows to obtain the required volume of tests (the simplest Monte Carlo method or modeling methods that take into account a priori information about landing parameters [1]).

III. ALGORITHMS FOR ESTIMATING THE ACCURACY OF A CONTROL SYSTEMS

The choice of the estimation method is significantly determined by the form of the distribution law of the measured parameter, which is the realization of a random variable (in the one-dimensional case). With the unknown distribution law of the general population, only nonparametric methods will be correct. Let's consider two basic methods.

Method of the probability estimation using the observed frequency of falling the measurement results within the acceptable limits.

The probability estimation is determined by a simple algorithm:

$$P^* = \frac{r}{n},$$

where r is the number of measurements falling within the limits.

The boundaries of the confidence interval for the required probability P for sufficiently large n (for $n > 1000$ the binomial distribution of the random variable

$$\frac{(P^* - P)\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{P(1-P)}}$$

arbitrarily little differs from the normal law) are determined in accordance with the expression:

$$[P_1, P_2] = \frac{P^* + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{2n}}{1 + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{n}} \pm \frac{u_{1+\gamma}}{1 + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{P^*(1-P^*)}{n} + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{4n^2}}$$

$$\frac{n}{\frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{2} + n} \left[P^* + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{2n} \pm u_{1+\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{P^*(1-P^*)}{n} + \frac{u_{1+\gamma}^2}{4n^2}} \right], \quad (1)$$

where $u_{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}$ is the quintile of the standard normal

distribution $N(0,1)$ of the $\frac{1+\gamma}{2}$ level, γ is the confidence probability with which the interval $[P_1, P_2]$ contains the true value of P .

For example, for $n = 100, r = 100, \gamma = 0,95$ we have $P^* = 1, u_{0,975} = 1,96$ and the boundaries of the interval are equal to $[0,963; 0,954 \approx 1]$, and for $n = 500, r = 500$ the interval is $[0,992; 1]$. The lower bound of the interval is compared with the probability P_{req} and if it is less than the required one, then the volume of the modeling needs to be increased.

When estimating high-precision control systems for which the required probability of finding a certain parameter in a given area exceeds $0,9_4$ (and therefore, $P^* = 1$), the volume of statistical modeling significantly increases. In this case, one can use the formula that defines the lower boundary of the probability (obviously, the upper boundary is 1, that is, $P_2 = 1$):

$$P = P_1 = \sqrt[n]{1-\gamma}.$$

For example, for $n = 10^5$, the low boundary with a confidence probability of $\gamma = 0,99$ is $0,9_454$.

Method of the probability estimation with the use of the nonparametric tolerant interval.

Consider the construction of a nonparametric tolerant interval for which the probability measure of an unknown distribution concentrated in it would be no less than a given value P with a confidence probability γ . The boundaries of the interval L and U are random, and the following relation holds:

$$\Pr \left\{ \int_L^U f(x) dx \geq P \right\} = \gamma. \quad (2)$$

The left-hand side of the equation has a value that does not depend on $f(x)$ if L and U are the ordinal statistics [2]. Denoting the boundaries through order statistics $L = x_{(r)}$ and $U = x_{(s)}$, where $s > r$, we can write that

$$\Pr \{ [F(x_{(s)}) - F(x_{(r)})] \geq P \} = \gamma. \quad (3)$$

In [3] the general expression for the probability is obtained:

$$\Pr \{ [F(x_{(s)}) - F(x_{(r)})] \geq P \} = 1 - I_{P_\gamma}(s-r, n-s+r+1) = \gamma \quad (4)$$

or

$$I_{P_\gamma}(s-r, n-s+r+1) = 1 - \gamma, \quad (5)$$

where P is the probability measure, concentrated in the tolerant interval $[x_{(r)}, x_{(s)}]$, γ is the probability that this interval contains the fraction of the distribution P , r and s are the positions of ordinal statistics in the sample of measurements. If any four from (P, n, r, s, γ) are given, then the equation (5) can be solved with respect to the fifth variable.

In practice, as a rule, the extreme values of the sample of measurements $x_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)}$ are used as the order statistics. In this case the length of the nonparametric interval corresponds to the range of the sample $w = x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}$. Then the expression (5) takes the form:

$$I_{P_\gamma}(n-1, 2) = 1 - \gamma. \quad (6)$$

Introducing the incomplete B -function as [4]:

$$I_z(p, q) = \frac{B_z(p, q)}{B(p, q)} = \frac{1}{B(p, q)} \int_0^z t^{p-1} (1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad (7)$$

we may write:

$$\frac{1}{B(n-1, 2)} \int_0^P t^{n-2} (1-t) dt = 1 - \gamma \quad (8)$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{B(n-1,2)} = 2 \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} = n(n-1),$$

we finally get

$$1 - \gamma = nP^{n-1} - (n-1)P^n. \quad (9)$$

In particular, for $n = 500, \gamma = 0,95$ the solution of this equation gives a value $P = 0,9906$, i.e. the probability measure, concentrated in the interval $[x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}]$, will not be less than the obtained value with a confidence probability $\gamma = 0,95$.

If the required probability measure P_{req} exceeds the value 0.9906 (for example $P_{req} = 0,9999$ or $P_{req} = 0,9_4$), then it is necessary to significantly increase the test volume. The solution of the inverse problem in the formulation of (9) gives the value $n = 5 \cdot 10^4$ that, with probability $\gamma = 0,95$, the share of the unknown distribution of the parameter in a given tolerance interval was equal to or exceeded the value 0,9₄.

A multidimensional case is of interest when the acceptable area D^m is given in the form of a m -dimensional parallelepiped. Obviously, such area for two independent parameters ($m = 2$) is a rectangle. For example, for lateral and longitudinal deviations of the aircraft at the height of decision




Fig.1

It is obvious that an accurate estimation of the distribution of a random variable by the results of an experiment is fundamentally impossible, and therefore in practice different hypotheses about the distribution of the measured parameter are tested. As the results of many tests show, some parameters that characterize the accuracy of the control system functioning have a normal distribution with unknown probabilistic characteristics (m_x, σ_x) .

Method of the probability estimation with the use of the parametric tolerant interval.

At first we will consider a method for constructing a one-sided tolerant limit, which can be

making and at the touchdown point of the runway, such areas are specified by rectangles, Fig. 1.

If the acceptable tolerance area is constructed according to the ranges w of the measurement samples ($w_1 = x_{1(n)} - x_{1(1)}$ and $w_2 = x_{2(n)} - x_{2(1)}$), then the previously obtained relation (6) for the one-dimensional tolerant interval is completely transferred to the multidimensional case:

$$I_{P_\gamma}(n+1-2k, 2k) = 1 - \gamma.$$

When using two ordinal statistics for each parameter $k = 2$ and, consequently, we get:

$$I_{P_\gamma}(n-3, 4) = 1 - \gamma.$$

Carrying out analogous calculations on relations (6) - (9), we can determine the probability measure of the distribution concentrated in the acceptable area bounded by extreme values. In particular, for $n = 500, \gamma = 0,95$ the solution of this equation gives a value $P = 0,9845$, for $n = 1000$ and for the same confidence probability we have $P = 0,9923$.

The considered estimation method does not require the storage of the entire sample of measurements, but only the extreme values $x_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)}$ accumulated over the entire volume.

represented as the critical value of the corresponding random variable with the distribution function $F(x)$. Constructing an upper (lower) tolerant limit means that in about $100\gamma\%$ of cases the corresponding half-interval will be a critical multiplicity for the investigated parameter (for example, the vertical descent speed of the aircraft in touchdown point $V_y > 0$) with the required level of significance.

If the normality of the distribution law is assumed then as the upper tolerant interval, one can choose a function $U = m^* + k\sigma^*$ such that

$$\Pr\left\{\Phi\left[\frac{m^* + k\sigma^* - m}{\sigma}\right] > P\right\} = \gamma, \quad (10)$$

where $\Phi(\bullet)$ is a function of the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$.

To calculate the tolerant factor k the following formula may be recommended [5]:

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{x_\gamma(n-1)}} u_{\frac{1+P}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{2\left(u_{\frac{1+P}{2}}\right)^2 - 3}{24n^2} \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where P is the probability of not exceeding by random value X of a given critical value x_{accept} ; $x_\gamma(n-1)$ is 100 γ % percentage point of the χ^2 -distribution with $(n-1)$ degrees of freedom. For fixed values of γ and n , the value of $x(\gamma, n)$ is defined as the root x of the equation $1 - F_n(x) = \gamma$, where $F_n(x) = \Pr\{\chi_n^2 < x\}$ there is the χ^2 -distribution function.

It should also be noted that from the χ^2 -distribution properties for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ it follows that the following approximation of the quintile may be used:

$$x_\gamma(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{2}{9n} + u_{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2}{9n}} \right)^3. \quad (12)$$

As an example, we determine which limiting value can reach a certain parameter x at the level of reliability $\gamma = 0,9$ and given values $P = 0,9_6$, $x_{accept} = 4$ if as a result of modeling of $n = 1000$ realizations of the parameter the following statistical characteristics are obtained:

$$m_x^* = 1,228, \sigma_x^* = 0,5742.$$

According to (11) we get:

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{999}{942,1}} 4,89 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2000} - \frac{2 \cdot 4,89^2 - 3}{24 \cdot 10^6} \right] = 5,04$$

And upper tolerant limit is:

$$U = m^* + k\sigma^* = 1,228 + 5,04 \cdot 0,574 = 4,12.$$

This value exceeds x_{accept} . Obviously, for a given probability $P = 0,9_6$, it is necessary to increase the volume of modeling to obtain new characteristics (m^*, σ^*) .

For sufficiently large values n , which are characteristic of statistical modeling, we can use another asymptotic expression for the tolerant factor,

which is determined through the quintile of the normal distribution:

$$k = u_{\frac{1+P}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{x_\gamma(n-1)}}. \quad (13)$$

For example, for the considered above example $k = 5,043$, which almost coincides with the value obtained earlier.

In those cases where it is necessary to estimate the probability P of a random variable hitting into the given tolerance limits $[a_1, a_2]$, it is necessary to find the values of two tolerant factors:

$$k_1 = \frac{a_1 - m_x^*}{\sigma_x^*}, \quad k_2 = \frac{a_2 - m_x^*}{\sigma_x^*}. \quad (14)$$

The values of the quintiles of the normal distribution are found in accordance with (13):

$$u_{\frac{1+P_2}{2}} = \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{x_\gamma(n-1)}}}, \quad u_{\frac{1+P_1}{2}} = \frac{k_1}{\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{x_\gamma(n-1)}}}. \quad (15)$$

In accordance with the distribution $N(0,1)$ the obtained values of quintiles allow to find the probability values P_2, P_1 , and the probability measure $P = P_2 - P_1$, concentrated in the given interval $[a_1, a_2]$.

Let according to the regulatory requirements 95% of the distribution of the measured values of a certain parameter (for example, the lateral deviation of the aircraft at the touchdown point) should be within the tolerance limits $[-8, 8]$ with a confidence probability $\gamma = 0,95$. When $n = 1000$ realizations of a random variable were obtained, as a result of the modeling, statistical estimates of the parameter $m^* = 3,24, \sigma^* = 2,36$ were determined assuming the normality of the distribution.

As a result of the calculation using formulas (13) - (15) we obtain the values of tolerant factors $k_1 = -4,763, k_2 = 2,017$ and the values of the quintiles of the distribution:

$$u_{\frac{1+P_2}{2}} = \frac{2,017}{0,965} = 2,09; \quad u_{\frac{1+P_1}{2}} = \frac{-4,763}{0,965} = -4,935.$$

From the found quintiles of the normal distribution, we find the probabilities $P_2 = 0,9634$ and $P_1 \approx 0$, so that the share of the parameter distribution in the given interval is equal to $P = P_2 - P_1 = 0,9634$, that is $P > P_{req}$. This means that, according to the modeling results, it can be

concluded that the control system for this parameter satisfies the requirements.

Let's consider an example when the acceptable interval for the above example is $[-21, 21]$ and in accordance with regulatory requirements it is necessary that the probability measure of the distribution in this interval is not less than $P_{req} = 0,9_6$ with the confidence probability equaled $\gamma = 0,95$. As a result of modeling of the parameter realizations ($n = 1000$), the following characteristics were obtained: $m^* = 3, \sigma^* = 3,5$.

In this case we get:

$$k_1 = -6,86, k_2 = 5,14, \\ u_{\frac{1+P_2}{2}} = 5,33, u_{\frac{1+P_1}{2}} = -7,1, P = 0,9_75.$$

As can be seen from the obtained results, even with a small volume of modeling, the control system for the considered parameter satisfies the hard accuracy requirements.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The essentially limited possibilities of flight tests of automatic control systems exclude the achievement of the necessary volume of experiment in order to confirm the high requirements to the accuracy of control of these systems for ensuring the safety of the automatic approach and landing of the aircraft. This predetermines the significance of statistical modeling of the functioning of control systems in a wide range of perturbing influences and

optimal algorithms for processing the obtained statistical information.

In the statistical processing of information obtained during modeling, it is necessary to use not only strict classical parametric algorithms, but also robust and nonparametric methods of processing, which allow to obtain sufficiently high reliability and stability of statistical conclusions.

Nonparametric methods (the restrictions on the form of distribution are not required) have a much greater stability in comparison with other methods and their effectiveness is rather high with a substantial increase of the experiment volume. It is possible with statistical modeling. However, when the results are interpreted, it should be taken into account that their reliability can not be higher than the reliability of the initial data and the made assumptions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zelenkov A.A. On-board automatic control systems. Accuracy estimation of flight test results/ A.A.Zelenkov, V.M.Sineglazov.– K.:NAU, 2009.– 264 p. (in Russian).
- [2] Wilks S. Mathematical statistics/ S.Wilks. – M. Nauka, 1967. – 632 p. (in Russian).
- [3] David G. Ordinal statistics/ G.David. – M. Nauka, 1979. – 336 p. (in Russian).
- [4] Korn G. Handbook of higher mathematics/ G.Korn, T.Korn. – M. Nauka, 1977. – 832 p. (in Russian).
- [5] Bolshev L.N. Tables of mathematical statistics/ L.N.Bolshev, N.V.Smironov. – M. Nauka, 1983. – 416 p. (in Russian).

Received June , 2017

Zelenkov Alexander. Candidate of Engineering. Professor. Computerized Electrical Systems and Technologies Department, National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Education: Kyiv Civil Aviation Engineers Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine (1968).

Research area: Estimation of the accuracy and reliability of on-board automatic control systems.

Publication: 236.

E-mail: elte.chair @ gmail.ru

Bunchuk Alexander. Docent Computerized Electrical Systems and Technologies Department, National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Education: Kyiv Civil Aviation Engineers Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine (1983).

Research area: Estimation of the accuracy and reliability of on-board automatic control systems.

Publication: 65.

E-mail: post-fsf @ nau.edu.ua

Golik Arthur. Assistant Computerized Electrical Systems and Technologies Department, National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Education: National Aviation University, Kyiv, Ukraine (2005).

Research area: Estimation of the accuracy and reliability of on-board automatic control systems.

Publication: 42.

E-mail: golart @ mail.ru

О.А.Зеленков, О.О. Бунчук, А.П.Голік, Оцінка результатів статистичного моделювання систем автоматичного управління.

Розглянуті імовірнісні методи обробки результатів статистичного моделювання визначальних параметрів систем автоматичного управління, які характеризують положення літака в автоматичному режимі заходу на посадку та посадки з метою визначення точніших характеристик автоматичного управління.

Ключові слова: статистичне моделювання, оцінка точності, толерантний інтервал, імовірнісна міра, толерантна область, непараметричне оцінювання, обсяг моделювання, порядкові статистики.

Зеленков Олександр Аврамович. Кандидат технічних наук. Професор. Кафедра комп'ютеризованих електротехнічних систем та технологій, Національний авіаційний університет, Київ, Україна.

Освіта: Київський інститут інженерів цивільної авіації, Київ, Україна (1968).

Напрямок наукової діяльності: Оцінка точності і надійності бортових автоматичних систем управління.

Кількість публікацій: 236.

E-mail: elte.chair @ gmail.ru

Бунчук Олександр Олексійович. Доцент. Кафедра комп'ютеризованих електротехнічних систем та технологій, Національний авіаційний університет, Київ, Україна.

Освіта: Київський інститут інженерів цивільної авіації, Київ, Україна (1983).

Напрямок наукової діяльності: Оцінка точності і надійності бортових автоматичних систем управління.

Кількість публікацій: 65.

E-mail: post-fsf @ nau.edu.ua

Голик Артур Петрович. Асистент. Кафедра комп'ютеризованих електротехнічних систем та технологій, Національний авіаційний університет, Київ, Україна.

Освіта: Національний авіаційний університет, Київ, Україна (2005).

Напрямок наукової діяльності: Оцінка точності і надійності бортових автоматичних систем управління.

Кількість публікацій: 42.

E-mail: golart @ mail.ru

А.А.Зеленков, А.А.Бунчук, А.П.Голик. Оценка результатов статистического моделирования систем автоматического управления.

Рассмотрены вероятностные методы обработки результатов статистического моделирования определяющих параметров системы автоматического управления, характеризующих положение самолета в автоматическом режиме захода на посадку и посадки с целью определения точностных характеристик автоматического управления.

Ключевые слова: статистическое моделирование, оценка точности, толерантный интервал, вероятностная мера, толерантная область, непараметрическое оценивание, порядковые статистики, объем моделирования,.

Зеленков Александр Аврамович. Кандидат технических наук. Профессор. Кафедра компьютеризованных электротехнических систем и технологий, Национальный авиационный университет, Киев, Украина.

Образование: Киевский институт инженеров гражданской авиации, Киев, Украина (1968).

Направление научной деятельности: Оценка точности и надежности бортовых автоматических систем управления.

Количество публикаций: 236.

E-mail: elte.chair @ gmail.ru

Бунчук Александр Алексеевич. Доцент. Кафедра компьютеризованных электротехнических систем и технологий, Национальный авиационный университет, Киев, Украина.

Образование: Киевский институт инженеров гражданской авиации, Киев, Украина (1983).

Направление научной деятельности: Оценка точности и надежности бортовых автоматических систем управления.

Количество публикаций: 65.

E-mail: post-fsf @ nau.edu.ua

Голик Артур Петрович. Ассистент. Кафедра компьютеризованных электротехнических систем и технологий, Национальный авиационный университет, Киев, Украина.

Образование: Национальный авиационный университет, Киев, Украина (2005).

Направление научной деятельности: Оценка точности и надежности бортовых автоматических систем управления.

Количество публикаций: 42.

E-mail: golart @ mail.ru