UDC 621.833.1

V. STAVYTSKYY¹, O. BASHTA², P. NOSKO², G. BOYKO¹, A. GOLOVIN³, N. STEBELETSKA²

POWER LOSSES OF GEAR SYSTEMS

This paper provides a review of experimental investigations and available models of gear load-independent power losses (windage losses, churning losses and air-oil pocketing power losses) for spur, helical, and bevel gears. The aim of the review is to provide a comprehensive compilation of published information on gear load-independent power losses to assist gearbox designers in identifying relevant experimental and modeling information. While it is clear from the review of published work that the rotational speed, gear geometrical parameters, degree of confinement, and density of the fluid surrounding the gear are important, the degree of effect and general solutions for reducing power loss are less clear. The motivation for this is that for some applications, this power loss can be a significant component, particularly lightly loaded high-speed applications.

Keywords: transmissions, gears, windage loss, churning loss, air-oil pocketing loss, gearbox efficiency, empirical models

Introduction. Environmental awareness is pushing mechanical engineers to develop mechanical systems, and in particular gear units, that have lower environmental impact. Such objective can be reached through different perspectives: reduce gear power loss and improve efficiency, reduce gear operating temperature, reduce friction between gear teeth, reduce gear load-independent power losses. The losses associated with meshing gears are important in the design of many industrial, marine, and gas turbine situations. Gearbox efficiency varies from 98% to 99% for the best designed high power applications. The highest rated gearboxes now exceed 100 MW [1], so for such a gearbox a 1 per cent power loss equates to 1 MW and this is not insignificant.

Power losses of a gearbox containing several gear pairs that are supported by shafts and rolling element bearings can be classified into two groups. The first group is comprised of load-dependent (friction-induced) power losses caused primarily due to contacting surfaces of gears and the bearings. The losses in the second group are independent of load and are often referred to as spin power losses. There are many sources of such losses, the primary ones being oil churning and windage that are present as a result of oil/air drag on the periphery and faces of the gears, pocketing/squeezing of lubricant from the cavities of the gear mesh, and viscous dissipation of bearings. While losses from these two groups are often comparable under highload, low-speed conditions, the spin losses were shown to dominate over the loaddependent power losses at higher operating speed conditions. Of the total losses, for a typical gearbox, 40 per cent come from meshing, 50 per cent from bearings, and 10 per cent from windage and churning [2]. Windage power loss (WPL) is defined as the power loss due to the fluid drag experienced by the gear when it is running in air or an air-oil mist. Churning power loss (CHPL) is defined as the power loss when a gear is running in an oil bath or is dipping into oil "slugs." During the meshing of high-speed spur or helical gears, the mixture of air and lubricant is successively compressed and expanded in the intertooth spaces giving rise to significant heating and power loss named as air-oil pocketing power losses.

¹Eastukrainian national university, Ukraine

²National aviation university, Ukraine

³Berezhany agrotechnical institute, Ukraine

Windage Power Losses. Estimates on the percentage effect of windage vary as the value is dependent on a number of different parameters. One of the critical parameters is the pitch line velocity; obviously, high velocities ([3] suggests 51 m/s, and [4] suggests tangential speeds greater than 90–120 m/s) produce greater stirred motion, so large gears rotated at high rotational rates are particularly vulnerable. Additionally, the lubrication flow rate and scavenge design are critical as these directly affect the properties of the fluid surrounding the gear [3], [5]. So, in a case where you have a high level of lubricant suspended around a gear with high pitch velocity, as is the case of an aero-engine, windage becomes a significant contributor to the power loss. It may only account for a few percent, but this can be critical. Traditionally, there have been two approaches to reducing WPL; the first is to use a shroud or baffle to enclose gears and the second is to positively pump the oil and air from the gearbox casing. Pumping from the gearbox casing is known as evacuating the gearbox [1], and allows a reduction of fluid density within the casing. This can result in up to 1% improvement in efficiency but can only be used in a limited number of situations.

Published gear windage power losses experiments, which have been few and far, can be grouped based on their primary focus. One group of studies focused on the measurement of air windage losses [6] by measuring the deceleration of a single gear or disk rotating in air, and then applying the kinetic energy theorem to obtain power loss from air drag. [7] used the tool of dimensional analysis to define an empirical windage moment coefficient in terms of speed, oil properties, Reynolds number, gear size, tooth parameters, and the geometry of nearby fluid flow obstructions such as close-fitting gear case walls. While applicable to a single disk or gear rotating in air, these models did not consider the effects of a meshing gear or impinging oil jet, and so cannot be validated using geared transmissions.

As an alternative, [8] and [9], [10] developed empirical models for meshed spur gears based on pitch radius, face width, rotational speed, and viscosity of the ambient fluid. Likewise, [11], based on measurements of high-speed, long addendum spur gears, reported that windage power loss was proportional to the 2.8th power of the rotational speed and also that the inertial losses resulting from the impinging oil jet were linearly proportional to the rotational speed. Here, the inertial losses from the impinging oil jet were shown to increase with oil jet pressure, and composed a significant portion of load-independent power loss.

Table 1 is divided into experimental and modeling studies into WPL for spur, helical, and bevel gears.

Oil churning power losses. Most of experimental studies considered a single gear, disk, or bladed rotor immersed in oil [12], [13], [14]. These oil churning studies were also devoted to developing empirical equations to obtain a dimensionless churning moment coefficient. [12] proposed four different flow regimes around a rotating disk fully submerged in fluid and correlated these flow regimes to Reynolds number and enclosure effects based on experimental results. Mann and Marston [13] studied friction drag of bladed and unbladed disks and related experimental results to a moment coefficient based on Reynolds number and axial clearance with the chamber, etc.

However, in the case of gears, there are fewer empirical models and, because of experimental difficulties, measurements of thermal performance and power losses have been limited. The first in situ temperature measurements date back to the classic works by [15], [16], while the specific studies on churning losses comprise those of [17], [18] and, more recently, [19] and [20].

Experimental studies of windage power loss

Empirical models: Determination of WPL	7	• Main contributors to WPL were the leeth. • Main contributors to WPL were the leeth. • Teeth may be acting as a centrifigal for teeth and ejecting it radially toward the middle of the face. • Teeth may be acting as a centrifigal ϕ =1-oil free atmosphere, λ =0.6-0.7-gear in free space, λ =0.6-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The free facts and ejecting it radially toward the middle of the face. • Main contributors to WPL were the λ =0.7-0.6 λ =0.7-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are facts and λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The middle of the facts are facts at λ =0.5-0.6 λ =0.7-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.7-gear in a large facts and λ =0.5-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are facts and λ =0.5-0.6 λ =0.7-0.7-gear in a large enclosure, λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are facts and λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are facts and λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are facts and λ =0.5-0.6-"fitting" gear case. The facts are fa	Module 1 $2.9\rho\omega^3r^{3.5.1}m^{1.06}F^{0.42}$ Module 1.25-4 $2.9\rho\omega^3r^{3.5.1}m^{1.06}F^{0.42}$ Module 5 $2.9\rho\omega^3r^{3.5.2}m^{1.16}F^{0.42}$ The predictions from these expressions were found to lie within $\pm 15\%$ of the experimental data.	vindage losses become very signifi- $\frac{1}{2}C_t\rho\omega^3r^5$, with: $C_t=C_f+C_t$, C_f - dimensionless moment at the front and rear cant at high speeds. • Influence of the teeth is found to be significant with WPL ratio of about $\begin{cases} \frac{z}{4} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right) \left[1 + \frac{2(1+x)}{z}\right]^4 \\ 1 + \frac{2(1+x)}{z} \right] \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{4} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right) \left[1 + \frac{2(1+x)}{z}\right]^4 \\ 1 + \frac{z}{z} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right) \left[1 + \cos\phi\right] \\ 1 + \frac{z}{z} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{0.56} \end{cases}$ • Speed appears as a key factor, similar to the front and rear therefore of a deflector, a flange loss. • Introducing obstacles such as a flange and modify the corresponding power loss.
Conclusions	9	• Main contributors to WPL were the teeth. • Teeth may be acting as a centrifugal ϕ =1-oil free atr fan drawing in air axially at the ends of the teeth and ejecting it radially toward the middle of the face.	• Maximum WPL was experienced for a spur gear of Module 4 and that the minimum was seen for that of Module mm, 1. face width of 40 mm, Shrouding a single gear in air reduces and WPL to 25% of their unshrouded valmodules 1 and 5 ues. Axial clearance from WPL at high speeds was reduced by 75%. 1 mm to 10 mm WPL at high speeds was reduced by 75%. • Relationship between WPL and peripheral clearance was approximately linear.	windage losses become very significant at high speeds. • Influence of the teeth is found to be significant with WPL ratio of about 5:1 when comparing a gear and a disk. • Introducing obstacles such as flanges es can therefore reduce the air aspiration and ejection by the rotating teeth and modify the corresponding power loss.
Design parameters test spur gears	5	37 gears of root diameter 300–1160 mm with face widths of 32–187 mm and tooth modules of 2–24 mm		Pitch diameters from 144 mm to 300 mm, face width of 30–60 mm, and modules 4 and 6
Object	4	WPL vs speed, size, gear geometry, and shrouding for single spur gears in air	Axial and peripheral shrouding single and meshed gears at speeds ranging from 0 to 20, 000 rpm.	Dimensional analysis the gear geometry at speeds ranging from 0 to 12, 000 rpm.
Authors	3	[Dawson, P.H., 1984, 1988]	[Lord, A. A., 1998]	[Diab, Y., Ville, F., Changenet, C., and Velex, P., 2004]
	2		due to single spur gears in isolation	o agsbniw
4.	-		Spur Gears	

6
07
=
\boldsymbol{z}
.=
+
2
Ö
6.7
c
c
I (c
e 1 (c
ole
ole

unnea				ler ratio	's [Daw- cal mod- y of the isolating	
table 1 (continued)	7	$2.82 * 10^{-7}N^{2.8} \left(1 + 2.3 \frac{b}{r}\right) (0.028v + 0.019)^{0.2}$	$0.025\pi ho\omega^{2.86}r_a^{4.72}\mu^{0.14}$	$1.12*10^{-8}C^*\rho N^{2.85}d^{4.7}v^{0.15}\lambda$ C* - shape factor, related to the number of teeth, face width to diameter ratio of the gear	Figure 3 drastic effect on the efficiency of has a drastic effect on the efficiency of has a drastic effect on the efficiency of speeds were varied high-speed gear trains. The observation of teeth 50, speed is applied to the gear meshing C_2 , C_3 —constants; R_f —is the rough surface adjustment factor Dawson's [Dawsear trains face widths of 67,2 • When their gear system was operated son, P.H., 1984, 1988] and Townsend's [Townsend, D. P., 1992] empirical modina tooth modin the range of 33–100% of full loadels with correlations as their experiments measured total power loss rather than isolating windage. This influenced by windage, with WPL being nearly equal or exceeding those due to meshing.	
	9	 developed empirical models for meshed spur gears based on pitch radius, face width, rotational speed, and viscosity of the ambient fluid 	•The 23-teeth gear pair, with $m = 3.95$ mm, is predicted to have more windage Number of teeth 23 power loss than the 40-teeth gear pair having $m = 2.32$ mm. face widths of 14.7 ; • Variation of the total WPL with face 19.5 and 26.7 mm width is primarily due to the windage and tooth modules of pocketing power loss 2.32 and 3.95 mm	Helix angle from 0 • power loss reduces with helix angle deg to 50 deg up to the 50 deg Diameter of 0.514 m and a face/diameter ratio of 0.364	Speeds were varied high-speed gear trains. up to 15,000 rpm and Windage losses will dominate the loads up to 3.7 kW performance when light loads and high Number of teeth 50, speed is applied to the gear meshing face widths of 67,2 • When their gear system was operated's mm and tooth mod-in the range of 33–100% of full loade ule of 3.033 mm, and 83–100% full speed, the losseschelix angle 12 deg and, thus, efficiency were significantly influenced by windage, with WPL being nearly equal or exceeding those due to meshing.	
	5		Number of teeth 23 and 40, face widths of 14,7; 19.5 and 26.7 mm and tooth modules of 2.32 and 3.95 mm		Speeds were varied up to 15,000 rpm and loads up to 3.7 kW Number of teeth 50, 51 and 139, face widths of 67,2 mm and tooth module of 3.033 mm, helix angle 12 deg	
	4	WPL vs speed, size, gear geometry,	WPL at speeds from 0 to 10, 000 rpm.	Effect of vary-ing helix angle for a single gear	efficiency of high-speed helical gear trains	
	3	[Anderson, N. E., and Loewenthal, S. H., 1983]	[Petry-Johnson, T., Kahraman, A., Anderson, N. E., and Chase, D. R., 2008]	[Dawson, P.H., 1984, 1988]	[Handschuh, R. F., and Kilmain, C. J., 2003]	
	2		Closed-loop sys	windage due to single spur gears in isolation		
+	1				real Gears	

continued)	
Table 1 (c	

3			
(communication) I agost L	Module 1 $2.9\rho\omega^3 r^{3.51}m^{1,06}F^{0.42}$ Module 1.25-4 $2.9\rho\omega^3 r^{3.52}m^{1,06}F^{0.42}$ Module 5 $2.9\rho\omega^3 r^{3.42}m^{1,16}F^{0.42}$	$\xi \frac{z}{4} {b \choose r} \left[1 + \frac{2(1+x)}{z} \right]^4 (1 - \cos \phi) (1 + \cos \phi)^3 (1 - \sin^2 \beta)$	$\frac{v \times i}{745.7}$ with i - current (amps), v - voltage (volts). $C_f = \frac{P_w \times 10^{17}}{N^3 a^5 b^{0.7}} - \text{windage correction factor}$
9	• WPL for a helical gear was lower	Diab, Y., Ville, F., Pitch diameters 288 was noted, with a reduction of 38% proximity of a face width of 30 mm, best performing flange and modules 4 mm, 15% reduction was seen in the clockhalped and like angle 15 deg wise direction.	 Gears traveling over 51 m s must be shrouded. Spiral bevel gear rotating direction has no effect on baffle design and that bevel gears pump from the inside to the outside across the tooth Closing the gear inlet end reduces windage, churning, and power usage.
5	Pitch diameters 200 mm, face width of 40 mm, and modules 1 and 5 Axial clearance from 1 mm to 10 mm	Pitch diameters 288 was noted, wi nm, noted for anticl face width of 30 mm, best performin and modules 4 mm, 15% reduction helix angle 15 deg wise direction.	15 in. gear constructed from a rigid urethane compound
4	windage and meshing losses	influence of the proximity of a flange	Shrouding Shrouding (baffling or con- 2000] tainment) of a single spiral bevel gear
3	[Lord, A. A., 1998]	[Diab, Y., Ville, F., Velex, PM., 2005]	[Winfree, D. D., 2000]
2			
-			Bevel Gears

[17] developed empirical relations for a dimensionless moment coefficient from numerous experiments on gears rotating partially submerged in a fluid and identified separate power loss equations for meshed gears rotating upward or downward in an oil bath. [19] conducted friction torque tests with a simple bench setup using smooth disks of various diameters and face widths, which were partially submerged in high-viscosity oil, and compared these results to experimental observations with a gear (See table 2).

More recent efforts using similar methods include that by [21], [22], and [20].

- [22] performed a number of experiments to determine churning loss in single and meshed spur gear pairs. They compared their experimental observations on spin power losses with the empirical formulations of [19] and [17] and found that contrary to what Bones had predicted, the spin power losses were not strongly affected by the viscosity of the lubricant. Furthermore, their observations called into question the attempt used to characterize spin power loss based on a Reynolds number dependent on lubricant viscosity.
- [8] measured losses from jet-lubricated spur gear systems experimentally. They proposed an analysis of the power required to pump the oil trapped between mating gears.
- [5], [23] analyzed the effect of rotationally induced windage on the lubricating oil distribution in the space between adjacent gear teeth in spur gears. The purpose of their study was to provide formulations to study lubricant fling-off cooling. They proposed that impingement depth of the oil into the space between adjacent gear teeth and the point of initial contact was an important aspect in determining cooling effectiveness.

[24] analyzed fluid flow in the meshing zone between spur gear pairs to assess the magnitude of the fluid velocity, temperature, and pressures that result from meshing gear teeth.

A more recent study by [20] investigated the influence of meshing gear on oil churning power losses by performing a number of gear oil churning experiments to come up with empirical formula for power losses. Parameters included were gear module, diameter and face width, speed, and lubricant viscosity. Their empirical formula (See table 2) suggested that the influence of viscosity on oil churning loses is insignificant with regard to viscosity at high speeds of rotation for single gears, corroborating similar findings from the experimental observations of [22].

Another relevant work by [21] also stresses this apparent lack of dependence of oil type on load-independent losses. In their experiments, measured gear and bearing power losses, and forged a balance between generated heat in the gearbox due to gears and bearings and the dissipated heat in the form of free and forced convection and through radiation as well, from housing and rotating parts, to calculate mean lubricant temperature.

Conclusions. This review describes a number of studies that have investigated gear windage and churning power loss. While it is clear from all of these investigations that the rotational speed, gear geometrical parameters, degree of confinement, and density of the fluid surrounding the gear are important, the degree of effect and general solutions for reducing power loss are less clear. The majority of the modeling methodologies are experimental correlations derived from specific experiments that have unique elements, making a general conclusion regarding the best methodology difficult. The methodologies do allow a general assessment of the expected levels of gear windage and churning present in a specific design and possible routes to reducing gear windage and churning power loss. It is clear from this review that a modeling methodology capable of being used for all gear types and configurations is required, which allows analysis of the fluid dynamics phenomena.

Experimental studies of churning power loss	ors Object Design parameters test Conclusions Empirical models: Determination of CHPL spur gears	Dimensional Big viscosity lubricants strongly agreed from 200 Cst), the gear geometry. Chuming power loss is independent analysis Dimensional High viscosity lubricants echemetry. High viscosity lubricants echemetry. Chuming power loss is independent analysis High viscosity lubricants of fractional speeds, and echuming power loss were not tested gears with modules strongly affected by the viscosity of ranging from 2 to 8 mm. The lubricant. Dimensional P _{ch} = $\rho\omega^3 br^4 C_m$ For laminar flows (10< $Re < 2250$), if $Re^{-0.6} F_T^{-0.25} > 0$ Chuming power loss is independent analysis Cm = $4.57Re^{-0.6} F_T^{-0.25} (\frac{h}{r})^{1.5} (\frac{b}{r})^{-0.4} (\frac{V}{V_0})^{-0.53}$ Cm = $2.63Re^{-0.6} F_T^{-0.25} (\frac{h}{r})^{1.5} (\frac{b}{r})^{-0.17} (\frac{V}{V_0})^{-0.124} (\frac{V}{V_0})^{-0.576}$ Tested gears with modules strongly affected by the viscosity of ranging from 2 to 8 mm. The lubricant.	E., and CHPL Specific case • Chuming power loss is independent sion depth, gear of truck transmissions regime. Specific ase seemetry and flow geometry and flow form transmissions of gear to the following power loss is independent form for the following power loss is independent form for the following power loss is independent for the following form for the following power loss is independent for the following form for the following for the following form for the following form for the following for the following form for the following for the following for the form for the following	drag torque generated by discs and Disks of various diameters increasing Reynolds number. R. J., ated by discs and Disks of various diameters increasing Reynolds number. Page are rotating in water, or in oil $R_{ch} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\omega^3 S_m t^3 C_m$ For laminar flows $(Re < 2000) C_m = \frac{20}{Re}$ For laminar flows $(100,000) < m = \frac{20}{Re}$ For	100 experiments besonant let, C., influence of temporal possible way to improve chuming ex, P., temperature on hubicant viscosity face widths of 14 and 24 shape of the casing. In the pinion and the pinion and the gear when considered apart $C_m = 1.36Re^{-0.21}Fr^{-0.6}(\frac{h}{d})^{0.45}C_m If \omega rb/v = Re < 6000 C_m = 1.36Re^{-0.21}Fr^{-0.6}(\frac{h}{d})^{0.45}C_m If \omega rb/v = Re < 6000 C_m = 1.36Re^{-0.21}Fr^{-0.6}(\frac{h}{d})^{0.45}C_m If \omega rb/v = Re < 6000 C_m = 1.36Re^{-0.21}Fr^{-0.6}(\frac{h}{d})^{0.45}C_m If \omega rb/v = Re < 6000 C_m = 1.36Re^{-0.21}Fr^{-0.6}(\frac{h}{d})^{0.45}C_m If \omega rb/v = Re < 6000 with the pinion and the gear when considered apart$
	Authors	Terekhov, A. S., the g 1975]	[Lauster, E., and Boos, M., 1983] vs s sio	drag [Boness, R. J., atec 1989] gea	[Changenet, C., influand Velex, P., ter 2007]

Nomenclature

d = pitch diameter (m) β = helix angle (deg) b = face width (m)r = pitch radius (m)m = tooth modulez = number of teeth N = rotational speed (rpm) $\omega = \text{speed (rad/s)}$ Re = Reynolds numberFr = Froude numberh = immersion depth of a pinion (m) S_m = immersed surface area of the pinion (m²) $V_0 = \text{oil volume (m}^3)$ $\rho = density$ v = voltage (volts)i = current (amps) $v = \text{kinematic viscosity (m}^2/\text{s)}$ $\mu = dynamic fluid viscosity$

References

- 1. Weiss, T., and Hirt, M., 2002, "Efficiency Improvements for High Speed Gears," International Conference on Gears, Munich, Germany, VDI, Vol. 2, pp. 1161–1174.
- 2. Lord, A. A., 1998, "An Experimental Investigation of Geometric and Oil Flow Effects on Gear Windage and Meshing losses," Ph.D. thesis, University of Wales, Swansea.
- 3. Townsend, D. P., 1992, Gear Handbook, The Design, Manufacture and Application of Gears, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp. 12.24–12.28.
- 4. Diab, Y., Ville, F., and Velex, P., 2006, "Investigations on Power Losses in High Speed Gears," J. Eng. Tribol., 220, pp. 191–298.
- 5. Akin, L. S., and Mross, J. J., 1975, "Theory for the Effect of Windage on the Lubricant Flow in the Tooth Spaces of Spur Gears," ASME J. Eng. Ind., 97, pp. 1266–1273.
- 6. Dawson, P. H., 1984, "Windage Losses in Larger High-Speed Gears," Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng., Part A: Power and Process Engineering, 198 (1), pp. 51–59.
- 7. Diab, Y., Ville, F., Changenet, C., and Velex, P., 2004, "Windage Losses in High Speed Gears—Preliminary Experimental and Theoretical Results," ASME J. Mech. Des., 126(5), pp. 903–908.
- 8. Ariura, Y., Ueno, T., and Sunaga, T., 1973, "The Lubricant Churning Loss in Spur Gear Systems," Bull. JSME, 16, pp. 881–890.
- 9. Anderson, N. E., and Loewenthal, S. H., 1981, "Effect of Geometry and Operating Conditions on Spur Gear System Power Loss," ASME J. Mech. Des., 103, pp. 151–159.
- 10. Anderson, N. E., and Loewenthal, S. H., 1982, "Design of Spur Gears for Improved Efficiency," ASME J. Mech. Des., 104, pp. 767–774.
- 11. Mizutani, H., 1999, "Power Loss of Long Addendum Spur Gears With Large Chamfer on Tooth Tip-Ends," Fourth World Congress on Gearing and Power Transmission, Paris, France.
- 12. Daily, J., and Nece, R., 1960, "Chamber Dimension Effects of Induced Flow and Frictional Resistance of Enclosed Rotating Disks," ASME J. Basic Eng., 82, pp. 217–232.
- 13. Mann, R., and Marston, C., 1961, "Friction Drag on Bladed Disks in Housings as a Function of Reynolds Number, Axial and Radial Clearance, and Blade Aspect Ratio and Solidity," ASME J. Basic Eng., 83, pp. 719–723.
- 14. Soo, S. L., and Princeton, N. J., 1958, "Laminar Flow Over an Enclosed Rotating Disk," Trans. ASME, 80, pp. 287–296.
- 15. Blok, H., 1937, "Les Températures de Surface Dans les Conditions de Graissage Sous Extrême Pression," Proc. 2nd Congrès mondial du Pétrole, Paris, pp. 471–486.
- 16. Niemann, G., and Lechner, G., 1965, "The Measurement of Surface Temperature on Gear Teeth," ASME J. Basic Eng., 11, pp. 641–651.
- 17. Terekhov, A. S., 1975, "Hydraulic Losses in Gearboxes With Oil Immersion," Vestnik Mashinostroeniya, 55, pp. 13–17 (in Russian).
- 18. Lauster, E., and Boos, M., 1983, "Zum Wärmehaushalt mechanischer Schaltgetriebe für Nutzfahrzeuge," VDI-Ber., 488, pp. 45–55.

- 19. Boness, R. J., 1989, "Churning Losses of Discs and Gears Running Partially Submerged in Oil," Proc. ASME Int. Power Trans. Gearing Conf., Chicago, Vol. 1, pp. 355–359.
- 20. Changenet, C., and Velex, P., 2007, "A Model for the Prediction of Churning Losses in Geared Transmissions—Preliminary Results," ASME J. Mech. Des., 129(1), pp. 128–133.
- 21. Höhn, B.-R., Michaelis, K., and Vollmer, T., 1996, "Thermal Rating of Gear Drives—Balance Between Power Loss and Heat Dissipation," AGMA Technical Paper No. 96FTM8.
- 22. Luke, P., and Olver, A., 1999, "A Study of Churning Losses in Dip-Lubricated Spur Gears," Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng.: J. Aerospace Eng., Part G, 213, pp.337–346.
- 23. Akin, L. S., Townsend, J. P., and Mross, J. J., 1975, "Study of Lubricant Jet Flow Phenomenon in Spur Gears," ASME J. Lubr. Technol., 97, pp. 288–295.
- 24. Pechersky, M. J., and Wittbrodt, M. J., 1989, "An Analysis of Fluid Flow Between Meshing Spur Gear Teeth," Proceedings of the ASME Fifth International Power Transmission and Gearing Conference, Chicago, IL, pp. 335–342.
- 25. Anderson, N. E., and Loewenthal, S. H., 1983, "Comparison of Spur Gear Efficiency Prediction Methods," Report No. NASA-CP-2210.
 - 26. Dawson, P. H., 1988, "High Speed Gear Windage," GEC Review, 4(3), pp. 164–167.
- 27. Diab, Y., Ville, F., Velex, P., and Wendling, M., 2005, "Simulations and Experimental Investigations on Windage Losses in High-Speed Gears," VDIBerichte No. 1904, pp. 1435–1450.
- 28. Handschuh, R. F., and Kilmain, C. J., 2003, "Preliminary Comparison of Experimental and Analytical Efficiency Results of High-Speed Helical Gear Trains," DETC'03, ASME 2003 Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, Vol. 4B, pp. 949–955.
- 29. Johnson, G., Simmons, K., and Foord, C., 2007, "Experimental Investigation Into Windage Power Loss From a Shrouded Spiral Bevel Gear," Proceedings of GT2007, ASME Turbo Expo 2007: Power for Land, Sea and Air, Montreal, Canada, Paper. No. GT2007-27885.
- 30. Petry-Johnson, T., Kahraman, A., Anderson, N. E., and Chase, D. R., 2008, "An Experimental Investigation of Spur Gear Efficiency," ASME J. Mech. Des., 130, 062601.
- 31. Winfree, D. D., 2000, "Reducing Gear Windage Losses From High Speed Gears," Proceedings of DETC'00, ASME Power Transmission and Gearing Conference, Baltimore, MD, Sept. 10–13, pp. 747–756.

The paper was received by the editorial office on the 15.11.2017

В. СТАВИЦЬКИЙ, О. БАШТА, П. НОСКО, Г. БОЙКО, А. ГОЛОВИН, Н. СТЕБЕЛЕЦЬКА

ВТРАТИ ПОТУЖНОСТІ В СИСТЕМАХ ЗУБЧАСТИХ КОЛІС

У статті наведено огляд експериментальних досліджень та наявних моделей втрат потужності (вентиляційні втрати, втрати на вихороутворення, втрати потужності при стисканні повітряно-мастильної суміші) для циліндричних, косозубих та конічних передач. Метою огляду є надання всебічної компіляції опублікованої інформації про втрати потужності незалежно від навантаження, щоб допомогти розробникам редукторів визначити відповідну експериментальну та модельну інформацію. Хоча з огляду опублікованої роботи зрозуміло, що важливі швидкість обертання, геометричні параметри передач, ступінь ущільнення та щільність рідини, що оточує передачу, ступінь ефекту та загальні рішення для зменшення втрат потужності менш зрозумілі. Сенс полягає в тому, що для деяких варіантів використання ця втрата потужності може бути важливою складовою, особливо для легко завантажених високошвидкісних механізмів.

Ключові слова: передачі, зубчасті колеса, вентиляційні втрати, втрати на вихороутворення, втрати потужності при стисканні повітряно-мастильної суміші, коефіцієнт корисної дії редуктора, емпіричні моделі

Valeriy Stavytskyy, PhD Engineering, associated professor (East Ukrainian National University named after Vladimir Dal

Oleksandr Bashta, PhD Engineering, associated professor (National Aviation University, Ukraine), nau12@ukr.net

Pavlo Nosko, Dr. of Tech. Sci, professor (National Aviation University, Ukraine)

Boyko Grygory - PhD Engineering, associated professor (East Ukrainian National University named after Volodimir Dal)

Andry Golovin, senior lecturer (Berezhany agrotechnical institute, Ukraine)

Natalia Stebeletska, PhD Engineering, associated professor (National Aviation University, Ukraine)