

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Navigation System Based on IEEE 802.15.4 Standard Radiounits

V.M. Sineglazov

Aviation Computer-Integrated Complexes Department,
National Aviation University,
Kyiv, Ukraine
svm@nau.edu.ua

E.V. Daskal

Aviation Computer-Integrated Complexes Department,
National Aviation University,
Kyiv, Ukraine
shtrih1024@gmail.com

Abstract—Modern unmanned aerial vehicle navigation systems are highly dependent on Global Positioning System signals. Since Global Positioning System signals in dense urban housing areas, in tunnels or indoors may be unreliable, unmanned aerial vehicle will not be able to fulfill its tasks if needed to pass such zones. An unmanned aerial vehicle navigation system based on the increasingly popular radio standard IEEE 802.15.4 / ZigBee is proposed. A description of such networks and their advantages for unmanned aerial vehicle positioning are given.

Keywords—unmanned aerial vehicle; Global Positioning System; mesh-network; ZigBee; IEEE 802.15.4; wireless personal area network.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless data transmission using short-range devices is becoming more and more widely used in automated control systems. One of the wireless network types gaining popularity are wireless personal area network (WPAN), or wireless sensor networks. WPAN is used to connect various devices, including computers, home and office equipment, communication devices, sensors, etc. WPAN is used both to connect individual devices to each other, and to connect them to the networks of a higher level, for example, the global Internet network.

The wireless network technology of sensor networks today is, perhaps, a wireless technology that can work for a long time autonomously, without recharging or replacing batteries. WPAN coverage ranges from several centimeters to tens of meters, and can be extended to a quite large coverage area due to the ability of each network node to retranslate the message.

Wireless personal area network may be deployed using different networking technologies, such as Bluetooth (IEEE 802.15.1), Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11), and ZigBee (IEEE 802.15.4). When deploying communication network using ZigBee it becomes possible to create a distributed self-organizing system for the collection, processing and transmission of information.

Wireless global positioning systems such as GPS allow to determine the position of objects (including moving objects) in time and space and to provide reliable estimates of their coordinates in virtually any location on earth. At the same time, such systems have a significant drawback - most often,

they do not work or work poorly indoors or urban areas where dense and tall housing may impair communication with the satellites, which limits the use of the UAV. Local positioning system based on WPAN networks are designed to eliminate this drawback.

To solve the problem of local positioning and provide accurate coordinate information, it is possible to use wireless sensor networks, in particular the IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee wireless network.

Most of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) to date cannot independently carry out complex tasks, being in essence the remote-controlled aircrafts that are critically dependent on commands from the ground, and navigation system signals. UAV navigation system basis is made of global satellite navigation systems receiver and spatial orientation inertial sensor units used in conjunction with such systems [1].

Satellite navigation systems (e.g. GPS or GLONASS) allow to obtain accurate information about the current location in any weather conditions, anywhere in the earth's surface, provided the receiver being in line of sight of at least 3 satellites.

Disadvantages of the GPS system are periodic failures in the operation of receiving devices due to unstable signal from satellites. A stable signal requires communication with at least ten satellites, although it is sufficient to obtain data from three satellites to determine the location. In addition, significant errors in determining the current location of the object are possible when using GPS navigation, especially when driving at high speed. Therefore, in the conditions of dense construction or when it is necessary to fly indoors or through a tunnel, the use of such systems in the UAV causes difficulties.

Inertial navigation systems (INS) are built on the basis of gyroscopes and accelerometers. They continuously provide information about the course, coordinates, speed and parameters of the angular orientation of the UAV. Inertial systems have major and important advantages compared to other navigation systems: universal application, ability to determine the basic parameters of motion, autonomous action, absolute noise immunity.

However, INS are complex and expensive, they require careful care and may have quite a lot of weight which makes their use in UAVs problematic. The accuracy of uncorrected

inertial navigation system depends on time due to increasing error as a consequence of the gyroscopic drift. Therefore, obtaining information that meets specified requirements from the uncorrected INS is limited in time. The best samples are capable of maintaining accuracy within no more than 10 min of no signal from satellites. As a rule, in this case it is required to maintain the regime of rectilinear motion without accelerations.

Various methods of correction are applied to reduce errors and expand the possibilities of use, including satellite navigation data usage. This increases the accuracy of navigation system, but leads to a loss of their autonomy and noise immunity.

Summarizing said above, the presence of satellite navigation system signals is nowadays a necessary condition for the completion of the assigned tasks by UAVs. Absence or intentional suppression of GPS signals makes it impossible to accurately determine its own position and as a result, perform a flight on a given route. In the case of use at UAV with ultralow inertial system accuracy (especially at a short range UAV), the lack of correction signals from the GPS can lead to a "collapse" of the inertial system and UAV crash.

It can be concluded that the application of inertial and satellite navigation systems in UAV is not justified in the following cases:

- 1) The use of UAVs in urban environments where dense housings can cause a satellite signal loss.
- 2) The need of UAV flying indoors, through the room or a tunnel, or a prolonged "hovering" indoors or in a tunnel to perform a particular job (in the case of quadcopters).
- 3) Limitations to the UAV weight and components cost.

To solve the problem of local positioning and provide accurate coordinate information, it is possible to use wireless sensor networks, in particular the IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee wireless network.

The continuous development of wireless technologies and the growing popularity of embedded radio transceivers allow us to talk about the imminent increase in the availability of such devices on the market, namely, reducing their cost and simplifying their use. Therefore, it makes sense to consider their application for building the navigation system of a UAV.

II. WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

One of the most notable trends in the development of the modern world and technology is the concept of "the Internet of things" (IoT), which involve connection of "smart" electronic devices in the network and further connection to the Internet for information sharing and remote management. This concept is associated with the development of wireless sensor network technology [2].

Wireless sensor network – a distributed, self-organizing network of many sensors and actuators connected to each other via radio channel. The coverage area of such network can range from several meters to several kilometers due to the

ability to transmit messages from one element to another. This technology is used to solve many practical problems related to monitoring, management, logistics, etc.

Since the wireless sensor network includes an array of nodes arranged in a certain area such networks use mesh topology and can be used as a basis for the UAV navigation system. The mesh topology network or a mesh-net is a distributed wireless network that the device can combine three types: (1) coordinators; (2) routers; (3) final devices (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Topology of mesh-net.

The coordinator or the server is the main device in the network which provides networking, chooses the security policy, enables or disables network connection to new nodes, as well as collects and processes information from all devices and sends the results to an external network (e.g. Internet).

Routers are responsible for redirecting packets to the network. Nodes of this type are responsible for routing the network traffic. They are also involved in the search for a new route to deliver a message, if any of the intermediate devices on the old route are out of order.

The end devices are the executive devices on the network, they receive and send messages to the server and perform the necessary actions. Such devices most of the time can be in a sleep mode and perform the reception-transmission of messages to the nearest router only if necessary (pressing a button, timer or sensor triggering). Thanks to this the end devices can work long enough from the built-in power supply.

It is worth noting that the devices distribution for these types is conditional and is entirely software. Hardware-wise all devices may be identical. Coordinators and routers can also perform the functions of target devices.

Proceeding from this, it is possible to highlight the main advantages of the mesh network topology in comparison with the classical topology of the "star" type:

- noise immunity due to retransmission of messages in the event of an error and the use of alternative routes;
- low power consumption due to less powerful transmitters. It is enough for each node of the network to communicate with at least one neighboring router;
- self-organization and self-repair of the network in case of failures. The rules for connecting devices and encrypting messages are determined by the standard and the software used.

Thus, if you know the location coordinates in the sensor network and the devices mounted on the UAV transceiver that

will also be part of the network can then determine the location of the UAV by measuring the distance to the nearest stationary nodes becomes possible. Moreover, a two-way message exchange is possible: between a ground station and a UAV, and between several UAVs in the air. Each node in the network can know the coordinates of all other nodes and, depending on this, give certain commands or perform certain actions.

III. IEEE 802.15.4 STANDARD

To date, a number of wireless standards as well as the corresponding element base are developed and available for use to create positioning systems.

Although wireless mesh-net can be constructed based on any standard wireless networks, whether it be Bluetooth (IEEE 802.15.1), or Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11), the most applicable will be using IEEE 802.15.4 standard.

IEEE 802.15.4 standard defines the lower layer (PHY and MAC levels of the OSI model) for wireless personal area networks (WPAN). Such networks are focused on the low equipment cost and low communication speed between devices (up to 250 Kbps). The emphasis is on the very low cost of communication with the nearest devices, completely without (or with a small) basic structure, in order to be used at an unprecedented low level of energy. The main distinguishing feature of the standard compared to other wireless personal networks is the low cost of production and operating costs and the simplicity of the technology.

Among the most important functions described by the standard are: the provision of real-time operation by saving time slots, preventing simultaneous access, and comprehensive support for network protection. The devices also include power management functions, such as the connection quality and detecting the received signal power (RSSI). The latter is key for location determine of the receiver relative to the transmitter. Compatible with 802.15.4 standard devices can use one of three possible unlicensed frequency bands: 868.0-868.6 MHz, 902-928 MHz, 2400-2483.5 MHz.

Despite the fact that the standard assumes a relatively low transfer rate (up to 250 Kbps), its quite enough for sending and receiving commands and UAV coordinates. The range of the transmitters of this standard depends on the use of the amplifier and is within the 50-1000m range. Utilizing mesh-net the coverage area of the network can be increased depending on the number of devices.

The standard is the basic foundation for the ZigBee, WirelessHART, MiWi, ISA100.11 protocols, each offering a solution for network construction through the construction of the upper layers, which are not regulated by the standard. Alternatively, it can be used in conjunction with the 6LoWPAN standard and standard Internet protocols for constructing the complex built-in network.

The most popular protocol stack is by far the ZigBee, based on which complicated, safe and resistant mesh-nets can be built. Ample selection of transceivers, SoCs (System-on-Chip) and complete radiounits designed for use in a ZigBee network is available on the market. It is worth noting ZigBit

complete radiounits produced by Atmel company (which was recently acquired by Microchip). For example, complete radiounit ATZB-S1-256-3-0-C is a completely finished and ready-to-use solution, with an integrated antenna, transceiver and a powerful microcontroller. The module has a small size, costs about \$ 20 and only needs an installation of the module to the base pla for the power supply and easy IO ports access. Solutions with a radio signal amplifier are also available, which allows extending the range of the antenna (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. ATZB-S1-256-3-0-C Radiounit.

Complete radiounits or separate transceivers compliant with IEEE 802.15.4 standard significantly simplifies the program design since all the work at the lower levels (MAC and PHY) has been already implemented in the hardware or firmware of the transceiver level, and the upper levels of the work provided by selected protocols stack, e.g. ZigBee.

IV. UAV POSITIONING IN THE WIRELESS NETWORKS

Thus, it is necessary to unfold IEEE 802.15.4 / ZigBee network in a particular area where the use of UAVs is expected. The density of network nodes placement depends on their radio transmitter power and the number of nodes determines the coverage of the territory. Due to IEEE 802.15.4 standard focus on low power consumption and ease of use, the network nodes can have small dimensions and be powered long enough by a built-in battery and solar panel. This allows node placement in remote locations or making them unnoticeable. At the same time, because ZigBee type technology enables wireless control of home, office and industrial buildings, it is assumed that in the near future in many buildings and structures will have ZigBee network deployed as a part of the building infrastructure itself. Moreover, there is the concept of "smart cities", which involves the integration of all infrastructure and industrial urban sites into a single network, where an important role is given to the use of UAV [3]. All these ZigBee-compatible devices can be used to build the navigation system. The additional amount of code required to use a network node as a reference does not exceed one kilobyte.

To determine the location of the radio on the plane, there are two basic ways. The first way is to locate distances up to three points, whose location is known. The second method - determining the coordinates of two angles formed by the line connecting the two points whose coordinates are known, and the lines passing through these points and the desired point [4]. Thus, to determine the IEEE 802.15.4 / ZigBee network node position it is necessary to know the distance to any other network node or the direction to these nodes. The distance between the radio receiver and radio transmitter can be

measured in several ways, one of them is the distance measurement by the received signal power level (RSSI).

Flying between network nodes UAV may determine its position based on the signal power (Received signal strength indicator, RSSI) received from the closest radio transmitters the coordinates of which are known (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, the signal strength can vary greatly influenced by external random factors. To reduce the influence of random factors, the computer uses data from several (3 to 16) nearest transmitters. If the number is more than 16, then the 16 most powerful signals are used. In general, this leads to an averaging of the calculation results.




Fig. 3. ZigBee network with messaging and positioning capabilities.

Coverage can be increased in two ways:

1) By increasing reference nodes transmitters power while reducing the accuracy and resolution of the position calculation.

2) By distribute the reference nodes over a large area and carrying out the calculation of the position with respect to the nodes with the highest signal levels.

The second approach seems preferable since it allows to increase the coverage area without decreasing accuracy. It's implemented as follows: UAV sends a broadcast packet and collects data from all of the neighboring nodes in the radio visibility zone. From the responses received the highest power signals are chosen and coordinates of the corresponding reference unit are taken as initial or zero coordinates for the calculation. Next, based on the new initial coordinates, all of the nearest reference nodes coordinates are converted. The results are the UAV coordinates with respect to a reference node with the highest signal power. To get the true coordinates in a large network, it is necessary to add to the obtained values reference node's own position coordinates.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Loskutnikov, N. S. Senjushkin, and V. V. Paramonov, "UAV automatic control systems," *Young Scientist*, no. 9, pp. 56-58, 2011. (in Russian)
- [2] I. E. Osipov, "Mesh-networks: technologies, applications, equipment," *Technologies and means of communication*, no. 4, pp. 39-45, 2006. (in Russian)
- [3] A. Vyrelkin, and A. Koucheryavy, "Using of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Solving the Problems of the Smart City," *Telecom IT*, vol. 5, Iss. 1, pp. 105-113, 2017. (in Russian).
- [4] A. A. Bodrova, and V. I. Logvin, "Positioning and interaction in wireless sensor networks" *Young Scientist*, no. 6, pp. 129-132, 2015.